

amsterdam

# Effect of RPKI **Deployment Scenarios**

(work in progress)

Alexandru Ștefănescu, Benno Overeinder Guillaume Pierre

alex@NLnetLabs.nl

**NLnetLabs** Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam



### **Outline**

- Our objectives
- Approach emulation on a cluster
- Protocol abstraction level
- The simulator
- Expected results



### Goals

- Study effect of BGP security deployment scenarios
- Find out order in which to start securing ASs for maximum benefit
- Better protocol understanding: relation between no. of secured ASs and secured routes
  - Impact of securing just biggest ASs (e.g. Tier 1)
  - How important is securing CDNs?



## Approach

- Allow for easy implementation of security solutions
  - We can emulate practically any proposed security additions
  - Focusing on route origin validation in BGP
- Do not perform crypto computations, but emulate
- Abstract what you can, but run everything in (scaled) real-time
- Gather as much real-world data/scenarios and run the simulation upon them



### **Model**

- Abstract protocol and network (existing simulator):
  - no physical network modeling, 1 AS = 1 node (ignore IBGP)
  - standard BGP features: explicit prefix tables, announce and withdraw messages, route propagation according to policies, etc.
- Security model:
  - tag BGP messages as being "validated" or not
  - security policies assigned to ASs individually
    - most interesting: favor secure routes on tie



### Our software

- Enhanced version of simulator by M. Wojciechowski
- Java simulator running on homogeneous cluster
- Each AS is a separate thread
- Uses network annotated adjacencies from CAIDA
- Allows easy tweaking of BGP behavior and security policies



### **Variables**

#### Running scenarios:

- 1. Assign security policies
- 2. Propagate prefixes
- 3. Count validated route announcements

#### • Factors:

- What if topology changes?
- What is the impact of different types of security policies?
- What is the impact of different security policy distributions?
- How does it differ when prefix announced by stubs vs. large ASs?



### **Envisioned Results**

- Continue previous work
  - Sharon Goldberg et al.
  - Jennifer Rexford et al.
- More detailed simulations of security deployment
- Guide for favorable turnover for investments in BGP security
- Results show trends instead of specific AS behavior due to many levels of abstractions



### **Directions**

- Perform as many tests as possible using various deployment scenarios
  - Open to suggestions, contact us!
- Include time dynamic experiments in study
  - Convergence time of validated vs. unknown prefix announcements
- For more information:
  - {alex, benno}@nlnetlabs.nl
  - http://www.nlnetlabs.nl/projects/bgpsim/



### References

- 1. Wojciechowski, M., "Border Gateway Protocol Modeling and Simulation", a student project of NINetlabs under supervision of Benno Overiender for Project BGP Simulator, 2008.
- 2. K. Butler, T. Farley, P. McDaniel, and J. Rexford. "A Survey of BGP Security Issues and Solutions". Technical Report TD-5UGJ33, AT&T Labs Research, Florham Park, NJ, Feb. 2004. (revised June 2004).
- CAIDA Cooperative Association for Internet Data Analysis http://www.caida.org/home/
- 4. The Distributed ASCI Supercomputer 4 <a href="http://www.cs.vu.nl/das4">http://www.cs.vu.nl/das4</a>
- 5. M. Suchara, I. Avramopoulos and J. Rexford. "Securing BGP Incrementally". CoNEXT'07, New York, U.S.A., Dec-2007.
- 6. P. Gill, M. Schapira, S. Goldberg. "Let the Market Drive Deployment: A Strategy for Transitioning to BGP Security", Feb. 2011



| 1000| 100| 100| 101| 100000| 101| 1000| 100000| 101| 1000| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101| 101|

# **Questions?**

